

Form Letter A #1-3**Madison Brame**

From: Angie Hess <anghess7@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2026 10:34 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT — Regulation #10-242 / IRRC #3490

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a Pennsylvania resident writing to express my opposition to Regulation #10-242 in its current form.

I recognize the Commonwealth's responsibility to respond appropriately to legitimate public-health threats. However, public-health authority must also contain clear limitations, accountability, due-process protections, medical privacy protections and safeguards for parents and children.

I am particularly concerned about provisions involving expanded disease-control authority; the addition of prevention, containment and mitigation as grounds for disease-control measures; government access within schools; contact tracing involving students; access to medical records; expanded surveillance; and exclusion of individuals from educational settings.

I am especially concerned that the Department specifically discusses the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's decision in *Corman v. Acting Secretary of the Pennsylvania Department of Health* when explaining its proposed amendment to the disease-control-measures regulation.

Government authority affecting individual liberty should be clearly and narrowly defined rather than left to broad or open-ended regulatory interpretation.

I respectfully request that Regulation #10-242 be withdrawn or substantially revised to include clear statutory limitations, parental protections, medical-privacy protections, meaningful due process and appropriate legislative oversight.

I also request clear answers regarding the limits of the Department's authority under these proposed regulations and how Pennsylvania citizens will be protected from overly broad interpretations during future public-health events.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Angela Hess
Canton / Bradford County, Pennsylvania

Madison Brame

From: Deborah Vanderveer <ddvan75@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2026 9:13 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: RE: Regulation #10-242 / IRRC #3490 — Communicable and Noncommunicable Diseases irrc@irrc.state.pa.us Suggested subject line: PUBLIC COMMENT — Regulation #10-242 / IRRC #3490

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a Pennsylvania resident writing to express my opposition to Regulation #10-242 in its current form.

I recognize the Commonwealth's responsibility to respond appropriately to legitimate public-health threats. However, public-health authority must also contain clear limitations, accountability, due-process protections, medical privacy protections and safeguards for parents and children.

I am particularly concerned about provisions involving expanded disease-control authority; the addition of prevention, containment and mitigation as grounds for disease-control measures; government access within schools; contact tracing involving students; access to medical records; expanded surveillance; and exclusion of individuals from educational settings.

I am especially concerned that the Department specifically discusses the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's decision in *Corman v. Acting Secretary of the Pennsylvania Department of Health* when explaining its proposed amendment to the disease-control-measures regulation.

Government authority affecting individual liberty should be clearly and narrowly defined rather than left to broad or open-ended regulatory interpretation.

I respectfully request that Regulation #10-242 be withdrawn or substantially revised to include clear statutory limitations, parental protections, medical-privacy protections, meaningful due process and appropriate legislative oversight.

I also request clear answers regarding the limits of the Department's authority under these proposed regulations and how Pennsylvania citizens will be protected from overly broad interpretations during future public-health events.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Deborah Vanderveer
Granville Summit/Bradford County/ Pennsylvania

[Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer](#)

Madison Brame

From: Julie Marhefka <moonjewel36@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2026 11:04 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Regulation #10-242

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a Pennsylvania resident writing to express my opposition to Regulation #10-242 in its current form.

I recognize the Commonwealth's responsibility to respond appropriately to legitimate public-health threats. However, public-health authority must also contain clear limitations, accountability, due-process protections, medical privacy protections and safeguards for parents and children.

I am particularly concerned about provisions involving expanded disease-control authority; the addition of prevention, containment and mitigation as grounds for disease-control measures; government access within schools; contact tracing involving students; access to medical records; expanded surveillance; and exclusion of individuals from educational settings.

I am especially concerned that the Department specifically discusses the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's decision in *Corman v. Acting Secretary of the Pennsylvania Department of Health* when explaining its proposed amendment to the disease-control-measures regulation.

Government authority affecting individual liberty should be clearly and narrowly defined rather than left to broad or open-ended regulatory interpretation.

I respectfully request that Regulation #10-242 be withdrawn or substantially revised to include clear statutory limitations, parental protections, medical-privacy protections, meaningful due process and appropriate legislative oversight.

I also request clear answers regarding the limits of the Department's authority under these proposed regulations and how Pennsylvania citizens will be protected from overly broad interpretations during future public-health events.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Thank you.

Julie Marhefka-Fisher
North Huntingdon
Westmoreland County, PA